1	So in that context I was wondering whether
2	anybody at SFUSD asked, authorized, directed Mr.
3	Sanchez to ask for more time to prepare responses.
4	MR. DUNCAN: Object, Your Honor to the
5	form of the question to where character relations and
6	the opinion
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll sustain. Sustained,
8	sustained, sustained.
9	MR. SHOOK: Are you aware of anyone at
10	SFUSD asking Mr. Sanchez to request more time?
11	THE WITNESS: I have no memory of of
12	ask of anyone asking Mr. Sanchez to make that
13	request of the FCC.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me ask something else.
15	Do you know, was the nature of the attorney-client
16	relationship with the Sanchez firm at that point in
17	time such that he had that he had authority to do
18	things like that as far as you, the client, were
19	concerned?
20	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, as far as I
21	don't he was our legal expert. So I what's the
22	word I'm looking for? I deferred to him in legal

1	matters obviously and so if he wanted if he decide
2	suggested we get an extension if he made that
3	suggestion to KALW or SFUSD then I guess chances are
4	we would have gone with it given, we figure, Ernie's
5	the expert.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right but, but did he
7	have again did you or between the time that Mr.
8	Ramirez left and Ms. Sawaya came aboard you were
9	you were the acting or the you were the in-place
10	Manager, no?
11	THE WITNESS: No, the time from Ramirez
12	to Sawaya it's quite a bit of time. There's a couple
13	of General Managers. There was a least one General
14	Manager in between them.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, all right, well
16	correct me on that.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I was when
18	Mr. Johnson left in the end of September 2000 then
19	that's when I started standing in again until they
20	picked the new General Manager which was Nicole Sawaya
21	and she started on March 1 of 2001.

JUDGE SIPPEL: March of 2001. Well within

22

1	that time frame let's say roughly a year, you were
2	the stand-in General Manager isn't that correct?
3	THE WITNESS: For about five - about five
4	months, yes.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well in terms of your
6	relationships and your contacts with the law firm, the
7	Sanchez law firm did you ever give them blanket
8	authority to seek extensions or to do pretty much what
9	they wanted to do in terms of time frames?
10	Obviously using their best legal judgment,
11	you know or was it still a situation where they would
12	come as a general procedure would come to you for
13	authorization to do things like that?
14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall them in
15	that five-month period there I don't recall them ever
16	coming to me for authorization.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Mr. Shook.
18	MR. SHOOK: Mr. Helgeson in Mr. Sanchez's
19	letter to Linda Blair which is EB Exhibit 16, one of
20	the sentences reads as follows: SFUSD wishes to
21	involve this new General Manager in all matters
22	relating to KALW's 1997 Licensor Renewal including

1	response to your Letter of Inquiry. Now in the
2	context of this letter SFUSD wishes do you know who
3	Mr. Sanchez is referring to when he's referring to
4	SFUSD?
5	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't I would only
6	surmise that he wasn't referring to a specific person
7	there but SFUSD being the Licensee, the entity SFUSD.
8	BY MR SHOOK:
9	Q No person in particular is being referred
10	to there?
11	A I don't see that from from my reading
12	of it I don't I don't it doesn't appear to me to
13	be that he's referring to a person.
14	Q Now in terms of SFUSD wishing to involve
15	this new General Manager, the new General Manager is
16	Nicole, right?
17	A That's right. This would be Nicole.
18	Q Did you agree with the letters inform here
19	that Ms. Sawaya should be involved in all matters
20	relating to the 1997 License Renewal?
21	MR. DUNCAN: Objection. Foundation, I
22	think his testimony is that he doesn't recall seeing

1	the letter.
2	MR. SHOOK: He doesn't recall seeing the
3	letter but he was aware that the letter went. He was
4	aware that there was a request for an extension of
5	time been made.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I guess it doesn't hit
7	the point but I want to allow the question.
8	THE WITNESS: At some point I was aware
9	that Ernie had made Ernie Sanchez had made the
10	request. The basis for his request that he that
11	stated that's stated in here I don't I didn't
12	I'm not aware of that I wasn't aware of that at
13	the time that I knew he had gotten the extension or
14	made the, excuse me, made the request for the
15	extension.
16	MR. SHOOK: Now we're in the first week of
17	March. This is Nicole's first week on the job. What,
18	if anything, was she doing with respect to the public
19	file at this point?
20	BY MR. SHOOK:
21	A I don't know specifically what she was
22	doing regarding the public file other than as being a

1	new General Manager bringing herself up to speed on
2	all matters regarding this.
3	That so I don't know if other than
4	bringing herself up to speed regarding it, I don't
5	really know specifically what she was doing on it, or
6	if she was doing or what that would would have
7	been exactly.
8	Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether
9	she was responding or attempting to respond in any way
10	to the FCC's questions that appeared in the February
11	5, 2001 letter?
12	A At some date, and I'm not sure the exact
13	date, I know that she was working she was putting
14	together some specific, some draft notes or some draft
15	answers to those questions. I've seen in reviewing
16	in preparing for this Hearing I certainly have
17	I've reviewed some notes or an e-mail, I believe from
18	her to Ernie Sanchez.
19	Q Somewhat related to that I'd like to refer
20	to your refer your attention to EB Exhibit 17.
21	A Okay.
22	Q In conjunction with EB Exhibit 17 I'd also

1	like you to look at EB Exhibit 35, page 1. The
2	3/6/2001 ETS reference where it states, "conference
3	with Mr. Helgeson and Ms. Sawaya to work on responses
4	to recent letter from FCC Mass Media Bureau; review
5	request for Extension of Time to Commission," and
6	that's noted as having taken a half hour total.
7	Now, in EB 17 when Mr. Sanchez is sending
8	some kind of message be it an e-mail or otherwise to
9	his partner, Susan Jenkins, and the first sentence
10	reads Nicole and Bill called today to review their
L1	work on the FCC's questions. I take it the Bill in
L2	that e-mail is you?
L3	A I would interpret it that that I'm the
L4	Bill, yes.
L5	Q Nicole is Nicole Sawaya?
16	A Nicole would be Nicole Sawaya, yes.
17	Q The next sentence reads they will be
L8	sending draft answers by the end of the week. Draft
19	answers to what?
20	A I would expect that he was referring to
21	draft answers to the LOI from the FCC.
22	O So you were going to be answering the

1	questions to the LOI?
2	A He was expecting some draft answers from
3	it, yes.
4	Q Then the final sentence reads they are
5	pleased we are asking for the 30-day extension. So I
6	take it from that you were aware on or about the date
7	the extension was actually requested that you were not
8	only aware of it you were pleased with that.
9	A According to Ernie we were pleased with it
10	yes.
11	Q You have no reason to doubt Ernie's
12	assessment of what you told him that you were pleased
13	that the request was that the extension request was
14	made?
15	A I expect because we certainly don't
16	didn't seem on March 6 th to be to be ready to we
17	hadn't completed whatever that needed to be completed
18	on March 6 th and we needed a 30-day extension
19	apparently. So so I guess we were pleased, yes
20	that we had more time.
21	Q Next I want to refer you to well,
22	excuse me, before I do that in terms of this phone

1	call that's referenced in EB 17 were you and Nicole on
2	the phone together with Mr. Sanchez?
3	A I believe that generally when we had those
4	conversations we did them from the speakerphone that
5	was in her private office. So the three of us could -
6	- could talk at that time.
7	Q Right. Now your office was in an open
8	area, right?
9	A I was in an open area and that's one
10	reason we wouldn't have done it from my area. Also I
11	don't have a speakerphone in my phone so I'm sure we
12	did it from if it was done it would have been done
13	from Nicole's office.
14	Q Now, in terms of the e-mail reference that
15	that talked about you and Nicole working on
16	questions, were you and Ms. Sawaya working on
17	questions together or were you working separately?
18	A At that time I don't recall working on
19	questions and answers to questions. I recall that
20	what I was doing was I was involving myself regarding
21	the project making sure the public file was up to
22	date. I wasn't working on drafting drafting

1	answers to questions.
2	Q Okay, so perhaps Mr. Sanchez didn't get it
3	quite right when he was telling his partner that "they
4	will be sending draft answers by the end of the week."
5	You weren't going to be sending draft answers?
6	A Well it he was going to be getting
7	draft answers but I was not drafting draft answers, if
8	that makes sense. But he was maybe assuming that
9	maybe Nicole had taken on that part of of this
10	what work we needed to be done.
11	There wasn't any point in both of us
12	drafting answers.
13	Q Now, on or about March 6 th , which is the
14	date of EB 17 and also the date noted on EB 35, page
15	1 where we just talked about the conversation that you
16	had. Were you personally looking at the public file
17	at that point to see what was there?
18	A I was working regarding the public file
19	and to make sure it was complete, and preparing
20	documents around that time, although I don't recall
21	specifically March 6 th .
22	Q About was Ms. Sawaya also looking at

1	the public file on or about March 6 th ?
2	A I'm not sure exactly what date she was
3	looking at it. I showed her where the public file was
4	and if she and I don't recall us specifically both
5	looking at it at the very same moment.
6	Q Now at this point the public file is still
7	located in your work area?
8	A In the same work area that I described
9	before, yes.
10	Q When was the when was the cabinet or
11	the public file itself actually moved to Ms. Sawaya's
12	office?
13	A I can't remember the exact date but she
14	decided it should from everything she knew she
15	moved it we moved it into her office the
16	contents into a file cabinet into a drawer of a
17	file cabinet in her office and I want to say that was
18	I can't recall a date but March or April.
19	Q But it took what, several weeks after her
20	arrival before the public file contents were actually
21	moved to her office?
22	A I don't know if it was several weeks

1	after.
2	Q Several months?
3	A It could have been a week it could have
4	been two. It was sometime in March. She started in
5	March and sometime, I want to say within the first few
6	weeks that that move of the the public file
7	documents moved to into the file cabinet stayed,
8	the four drawer file cabinet but contents moved into
9	a drawer in her office.
LO	Q Was anyone assisting you in looking at the
L1	public inspection file in March of 2001?
L2	A There was a volunteer who helped me as far
L3	as making sure the file was complete and all the
L4	documents that should be there, we believe should have
L 5	been there.
L6	Q Who was this person?
17	A It was a woman named Dawn Nagengast.
18	Q Is she still associated with the station
19	in any way?
20	A As far as I know she's not associated with
21	the station currently.
22	Q Do you know her whereabouts?

1	A She lives in, I believe she's she was
2	living in Freemont, California.
3	Q All right well help me with my California
4	geography because I don't know where Freemont is.
5	A Okay. It it's a, I guess you would
6	consider it a suburb of San Francisco, across the bay
7	nearer to Oakland than San Francisco.
8	Q Did you and Ms. Sawaya ever discuss in
9	March of 2001 what one or both of you may have seen in
10	the public file?
11	A Well we did discuss the public file. We -
12	- I told her what I was what I had been from
13	conversations I'd had with our attorneys before she
14	got there what I was what I was doing, my project
15	about bringing the public making sure everything in
16	the public file was complete right up to there we
17	were in early 2001.
18	That was that's the project that I was
19	working on. I don't recall specifically other than
20	that what the we said any about doing anything
21	what specific things other than the specific documents
22	that we were comparing.

1	Q Did you ever tell her what you thought
2	should be in the public file?
3	A I probably would have what I believe I
4	did was share with her the the e-mail or the fax
5	that I'd gotten from Susan Jenkins in February stating
6	what what should be in a public file because we
7	were using that as our guide to make sure that our
8	public file was current and up to date.
9	Q Did she ever tell you what she thought
10	should be there that wasn't there?
11	A I don't recall her saying saying that
12	to me what should be there other than other than
13	agreeing with me if about the documents that we
14	were preparing that I that from my inspection
15	of the file.
16	Q Do you know what Ms. Sawaya was doing to
17	determine what SFUSD's responses to the FCC's
18	questions should be?
19	A I don't recall telling her that this is
20	what the answer should be to any particular question.
21	I was just I was again working on the on the
22	task of I was tasked tasked to bring the file up

1	to date and that's the part of the project that I was
2	working on.
3	Q My question was a little bit different.
4	Do you know what Ms. Sawaya was doing to determine
5	what SFUSD's answers to the FCC's questions should be?
6	A I believe she was working on some draft
7	answers to it and she would from that she would
8	have to I would imagine she would have to do her
9	own inspection of the file based on to come up with
10	the answers to that.
11	Q Did she talk with you about what the
12	situation was or should be in terms of the public
L3	file?
14	A I recall telling her what I was up to but
15	as far as what should be I would guess would have
16	just referred either to to the letter from the
L7	fax from Susan Jenkins and that's all that's how I
18	would have.
19	Q So are you telling us that you gave Ms.
20	Sawaya EB Exhibit 15?
21	A EB Exhibit one moment.
22	MR. DUNCAN: Let me get reorganized here.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay. I would have shared this
2	as a basis for what I was doing regarding the public
3	file in preparing documents.
4	MR. SHOOK: You didn't give it to her as a guide
5	to help her respond to the FCC's questions?
6	BY MR. SHOOK:
7	A I don't recall if I gave her this or I
8	made a copy of it for her or I just had it in a place
9	where she had she could.
10	Q I want to direct your attention to EB
11	Exhibit 18.
12	A Okay.
13	Q Now we've talked a little bit about this
14	Exhibit already. This was an e-mail that you had
15	received from Mr. Sanchez?
16	A Yes, this is what it appears to be.
17	Q Why I mean do you remember receiving it?
18	A I remember receiving this, yes.
19	Q Did you read the rule that accompanied the
20	e-mail or the part of the e-mail?
21	A I reviewed it at the time I received it,
22	yes.

T	Q Did you use the rule there as a guide for
2	determining what should be in the public file?
3	A I don't I do not recall if we were
4	using this or the what I got from Susan Jenkins in
5	she had sent me one on February 17 th and then I got
6	we got this on, looks like March 7th, and I don't
7	recall if we saw any specific difference between this
8	and the other one. That's what I don't remember at
9	this time.
10	Q Now I want to refer you again to Ms.
11	Sawaya's calendar which is SFUSD Exhibit 16, this time
12	page 2 again. The date that I want you to look at is
13	March 6 th . Your Honor, I'm referring to SFUSD Exhibit
14	16 not Bureau Exhibit 16. This is an attempt to
15	confuse everybody.
16	A Okay.
17	Q First of all to help you out here, what
18	you're looking at is Ms. Sawaya's calendar from March
19	2001. For March 6 th , which is a date we have been
20	talking about, there's a reference there it says "9:00
21	a.m. Bill." Do you know what that's all about?
22	A I don't recall that specific or I can say

1	it probably was me.
2	Q Well I mean in
3	A I don't recall
4	Q Response to my question if you don't know
5	a simple no will suffice.
6	A Okay, okay. No I don't know specifically
7	regarding 9:00 a.m. on that date.
8	Q Then it appears that toward the bottom of
9	that date it looks like the word staff and then it has
10	6:00 to 7:30. Was there a staff meeting that day?
11	A I don't have a calendar similar to this
12	for that I that I can't recall if there was a
13	staff meeting on that day or not.
14	Q Well for a staff meeting to have occurred
15	at 6:00 o'clock is that something that you ordinarily
16	would have attended or you would have left for the day
17	and wouldn't be a part of it?
18	A Could go either way depending I
19	generally I probably would have been there.
20	Q Do you have any recollection of a second
21	staff meeting convened by Ms. Sawaya occurring so
22	early in her tenure? We had one on March 2 nd , her

1	second day on the job and now this is her fourth day
2	on the job, March 6 th and we're having another staff
3	meeting.
4	A Yes. That I couldn't say other than
5	perhaps what I would surmise from having a staff
6	meeting at 6:00 would be so the people who weren't
7	able to attend the previous one it was at a time
8	when people could attend a staff meeting.
9	Q Do you have a recollection of Jason Lopez
10	attending this meeting?
11	A I don't have a recollection of Jason
12	attending.
13	Q Well first of all I should have asked; do
14	you have a recollection of yourself actually attending
15	this meeting?
16	A I don't have a recollection any
17	specific recollection of of my attending that
18	meeting and if I did what specifically was said.
19	Q Going back to what we were talking about
20	a little while ago
21	A Can I say one I'm sorry.
22	Q Is there something you want to add feel

	iree.
2	A Yes, I just wanted to conjecture if this
3	very well given was on a Friday at 6:00 it very well
4	could have been the Welcome Nicole Reception that
5	had spoken of.
6	Q Okay
7	A That doesn't necessarily say
8	Q Excuse me Mr. Helgeson, just to help you
9	March 6 th was a Tuesday.
10	A It is okay. Well that thank you
11	very much. Okay. Thank you. Okay. I was looking
12	looked on the end of the week here and thought that's
13	why it looked like perhaps a Friday but thank you,
14	stand corrected.
15	Q That's the problem we have trying to
16	compress a very large document into four 8 1/2 x 11
17	pages.
18	A Thank you.
19	Q Anyway we were talking before about the
20	looking in the public file that you and Ms. Sawaya
21	were doing at about this time in early March of 2001.
22	What I didn't ask you was; did she assign anyone, so

1	far as you know, to assist her in determining what was
2	in the public file in order to answer the FCC's
3	questions?
4	A I don't recall her using anyone else in
5	the office to assist her on that project make
6	regarding that, no.
7	Q Did Ms. Sawaya talk to you about what she
8	saw in the public file in connections with the FCC's
9	questions?
10	A I don't recall specifically what we
11	what we talked about what she talked about or I
12	talked, whether it was about what she saw or didn't
13	see. She was aware of the documents that that I
14	was I was preparing. That's what else she saw
15	I don't know.
16	Q What generally did you talk about then?
17	A I told her about what project what
18	at some point I was informing her of the progress
19	regarding bringing the file up to date and making sure
20	it was complete, specifically regarding issues and
21	programs list and also, owner supplemental
22	ownership reports.

1.	Using the checklist that we had gotten
2	from our attorneys to to use that as our as our
3	guide to make sure everything was again complete and
4	up to date.
5	Q In this first week of March of 2001 did
6	she tell you what she she thought should be in the
7	public file that wasn't there?
8	A I don't recall her telling me that at that
9	time, no.
10	Q Anytime?
11	A I don't recall at some point during that
12	period her telling me, no.
13	Q In connection with responding to the FCC's
14	questions in the February 5, 2001 letter did she
15	direct you to put anything into the public file?
16	A I don't recall her directing me to put
17	things in there although we she knew that out of
18	our conversations with the attorneys what I was doing
19	regarding the public file and preparing documents.
20	I don't know if there were other documents
21	other than what she told me to put something in
22	other than what she knew I was working on.

1	Q Now, in connection with your Exhibit 18
2	which is the e-mail that Mr. Sanchez sent you on March
3	7 and which included the current version of
4	47CFR73.3527 which is the public file rule.
5	The e-mail is addressed to you and to you
6	alone. Now do you have any understanding as to why it
7	is you're the only recipient of this e-mail as opposed
8	to Mr. Sanchez communicating directly with Nicole
9	Sawaya?
10	A My recollection at the time was that since
11	she was a new employee SFUSD employees get their
12	own e-mail on the on the SFUSD e-mail system.
13	As a new employee she hadn't, I believe
14	had an e-mail assigned to her yet and so if Ernie
15	wanted to get something to have her see it at that
16	point I think he needed to send it to me and I would
17	give it to her for that reason until she got her own
18	e-mail account.
19	Q I want to refer your attention now to EB
20	Exhibit 19.
21	MR. DUNCAN: I'm sorry Mr. Shook what was

1	MR. SHOOK: The one that we were talking
2	about before was EB 18, now we're up to EB 19.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	BY MR. SHOOK:
5	Q You sent EB 19 to Mr. Sanchez?
6	A Yes I did.
7	Q Where it says, Ernie thanks I'll share it
8	with Nicole, I take it you then shared whatever the e-
9	mail references there with Nicole?
10	A Yes I I believe I would have done that,
11	yes.
12	Q I now want to direct your attention to EB
13	Exhibit 20.
14	A Okay.
15	Q Did you become aware of EB Exhibit 20 on
16	or about March 8, 2001?
17	A I'm sorry, was I aware of this piece of
18	paper?
19	Q Or the information that was written
20	therein?
21	MR. DUNCAN: Objection, compound I just
22	want to make sure that we understand what the

1	Witness understands exactly what the question is.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sustained. Just rephrase
3	it please Mr. Shook.
4	MR. SHOOK: Okay the first question is,
5	were you aware of EB Exhibit 20 on or about March 8,
6	2001?
7	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think
8	I've seen this. I don't recall seeing this. Did I
9	see this Ernie? This is this is the letter from -
10	- this is a memo from March 8 th to Ernie from Nicole,
11	RE Enclosures. Am I am I right?
12	MR. SHOOK: That's what it says.
13	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want more time to
15	read that?
16	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to refresh my
17	memory on it.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we turn the record off
19	there while we do this?
20	THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm sorry.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, don't apologize. It's
22	okay.